

the journal of **PORTFOLIO** *management*

NOVEL RISKS AND SOURCES OF VOLATILITY: *Identification and Measurement Challenges for Portfolio Management*

Guest Co-Editor

AHMET K. KARAGOZOGLU

stck01a	19,256	+2.5	-0.5	54,250	25,556	25,346,348
stck01a	356	+2.5	+8.5	24,490	2,480	14,235,475
stck01a	2,256	+2.5	+6.5	28,433	254,235	18,366,345
stck01as	33,256	+2.5	-10.0	3,485	324,422	17,257,346
stck01a	12,258	+2.5	+2.5	859,470	24,455	16,386,455
stck01a56	18,226	+2.5	-22.5	598,445	84,482	22,239,344
stck01a25	12,578	+2.5	-25.5	25,425	2,480	78,406,435
stck01a	12,258	+2.5	+2.5	17,433	2,499	25,241,342

sstockkD1	▲	12,256	+2.5	+2.5	25,480	14,255	12,256,322
abdc	▲	14,254	+5	+5	32,480	225,258	20,225,515
91	▲	5,256	+2.5	+2.5	25,450	241,478	250,256,350
stck01a	▼	8,256	+3.5	-2.4	334,650	334,256	2,233,495
stck01a	▼	19,256	+2.5	-0.5	54,250	25,556	25,346,348
stck01a1	▲	356	+2.5	+8.5	24,490	2,480	14,235,475
stck01a3	▲	2,256	+2.5	+6.5	28,433	254,235	18,366,345
stck01a5	▼	33,256	+2.5	-10.0	3,485	324,422	17,257,346
stck01a	▲	12,258	+2.5	+2.5	859,470	24,455	16,386,455
stck01a66	▼	18,226	+2.5	-22.5	598,445	84,482	22,239,344
stck01a25	▼	12,578	+2.5	-25.5	25,425	2,480	78,406,435
stck01a	▲	12,258	May 5	+4.5	Volume 3	Number	25,241,342

**PORTFOLIO
MANAGEMENT
RESEARCH**

with. Intelligence

2025 | 51 | 7

pm-research.com

**An Interview with
Arthur J. Leiz of Invesco**

Frank J. Fabozzi, Editor





Important Information

This marketing communication is intended only for Professional Clients in Continental Europe (as specified in the important information), Dubai, Guernsey, Jersey, Ireland, Isle of Man and the UK; For Qualified Clients/Sophisticated Investors in Israel; for Institutional Investors only in the United States; for Professional Investors in Hong Kong; for Institutional/Accredited Investors in Singapore; for Qualified Institutions/Sophisticated Investors only in Taiwan; for Qualified Professional Investors in Korea; for Qualified Institutional Investors and/or certain specific institutional investors in Thailand; for Sophisticated or Professional Investors in Australia; in New Zealand for wholesale investors (as defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act); for certain specific institutional investors in Malaysia upon request; for certain specific sovereign wealth funds and/or Qualified Domestic Institutional Investors approved by local regulators only in the People's Republic of China, for certain specific institutional investors in Brunei and Indonesia; for qualified buyers in Philippines. In Canada this document is restricted to investors who are (i) Accredited Investors and (ii) Permitted Clients, as defined under National Instrument 45 106 and National Instrument 31 103, respectively. It is not intended for and should not be distributed to, or relied upon by, the public or retail investors. This document is not for consumer use; please do not redistribute.

An Interview with Arthur J. Leiz of Invesco

Frank J. Fabozzi, Editor

Arthur J. Leiz
is Global Head of
Investment Risk at Invesco
in New York, NY.
arthur.leiz@invesco.com

Frank J. Fabozzi: What do you see as the most significant novel risks emerging in the current asset management landscape?

Arthur J. Leiz: The use of the term “novel” in your question has me thinking, if a risk isn’t novel, is it “ordinary”? Generally, I don’t tend to bucket risks such as “those are novel” and “these are ordinary.” When a risk emerges, we’ve seen it in most cases, but it can emerge in a manner that we haven’t seen. It’s more about different manifestations of risks, rather than entirely new ones. I know what you’re getting at, but I would characterize it slightly differently: what keeps me up at night?

Regulatory risk is always on my mind, both compliance with existing regulations and the risk associated with ever-evolving regulatory regimes. With respect to new regulations, even the best-intentioned rules, if not implemented carefully, can result in regulatory arbitrage, potentially stifle innovation, limit the availability of product choice, and ultimately hurt clients. That’s why I believe in responsibly engaging with regulators and fostering an open dialogue.

ESG is also an area that needs to be considered. First, there’s the reputational risk associated with ESG, which comes in several forms. One relates to regulatory risk, which results from the newness and evolving nature of the ESG regulatory landscape. The other is the risk that firms that take policy stances on ESG risk being out of sync with the views of some of their clients. On this point, I think large global asset managers should avoid taking policy positions on ESG. Their role is to create financial products that help clients achieve their objectives, whether they align with ESG principles or not.

Technology is another area of focus. It’s imperative to stay ahead of technological advancements, including systems, data management, tooling, models, and, of course, artificial intelligence (AI), which has many aspects to consider.

Lastly, I’m worried about what I don’t know, or what I am missing. I like to think we have the right approaches, techniques, tools, and models to manage the risks we’re taking; however, the market isn’t rational or predictable. There is a famous quote by John Maynard Keynes that I keep in the back of my mind, “Markets can remain irrational longer than you can remain solvent.” I like this quote because as a risk manager, complacency is never acceptable. A risk manager needs to expect the unexpected, which includes irrational behavior. Throughout my career, I’ve heard people say, “That price has to revert” or “Don’t worry, we’ve already experienced a four standard deviation event—it can’t get any worse.” Trust me. It can always get worse.

Fabozzi: To what extent do geopolitical risks contribute to portfolio volatility, and how do you account for them in your decision-making process?

Leiz: Geopolitical risk as a driver of portfolio volatility is one of those certainties in life, much like the inevitability of growing old. This isn’t a new or emerging risk; it’s a constant factor that must be considered. Now, you don’t have to be a risk professional to recognize that we’re currently living through a period of heightened geopolitical risk. These risks are not confined to isolated regions of the world; they are widespread and pronounced.

To manage these risks, it’s first necessary to identify potential geopolitical risks, understand where portfolios are exposed to these risks, and assess the likelihood and potential impact if these risks should manifest. This involves scenario analysis.

Geopolitical risk assessments are part of a practical risk management framework. Prudent portfolio management involves diversifying investments to mitigate exposure to any single geopolitical event, monitoring global developments, and, of course, being prepared to adjust investment strategies as situations evolve. It isn't possible to avoid geopolitical risk, but seeking to mitigate the potential impact is possible.

Fabozzi: What role do behavioral factors, such as investor sentiment, play in driving volatility today compared to the past?

Leiz: Behavioral factors such as investor sentiment have always played an important role in markets. Investor sentiment is another way of characterizing a straightforward Economics 101 concept: supply and demand. Investor sentiment drives asset pricing and volatility yesterday, today, and in the future.

Information and the extent to which it is known across markets are always imperfect. Information can be widely dispersed, but how it is interpreted is another matter entirely. However, information asymmetry leads to pricing anomalies that could represent profitable opportunities for investors. What has changed is the speed at which information can be transmitted and the breadth and number of people who can receive that information.

A more recent variation of behavioral factors manifested in a manner we haven't seen before. I'm referring to the meme stock phenomena that occurred in January 2021. There were three factors that coincided in somewhat perfect unison and really contributed to certain single-stock volatility: 1) large short positions, 2) the advent of platforms that facilitate access to the market, and 3) the crowdsourcing of views.

For a risk manager, that was a very interesting time. Small short positions or underweights of a size that wouldn't warrant much attention in the past suddenly represented outsized risks. Risk management always requires adaptation, so my team had to get creative and harness multiple pieces of data and indicators to manage that specific risk. However, this is not exactly a new risk, but one that manifested differently. In the past, we would have called it cornering the market. At the time, we didn't know if this was a unique event or if it could persist. History now shows this wasn't a permanent situation or one that would become more widespread. Nevertheless, that risk still lurks beneath the surface, and it could certainly happen again.

Fabozzi: How has the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning introduced new risks or mitigated existing ones in asset management?

Leiz: It's almost impossible to have a conversation these days without someone bringing up the topic of AI. So, I'm not surprised you're asking about it. This is an important question.

There is the Hollywood version of AI risk, like a rogue trading model causing a systemic meltdown. While this isn't impossible, it's not the first thing I think of when it comes to AI. One of the areas I tend to think about if there were any element of AI in models, is the importance of a robust model risk oversight framework. AI innovation, which seems to be moving at a breakneck pace, will require oversight to keep up. Specifically, good risk management involves having the ability to test model assumptions, both inputs and outputs, and ensure appropriate controls are in place. Retaining intuition—an understanding of what the variables represent, why they're included in a model, and how they might affect outcomes—is a key challenge here. Once you lose the intuition and the understanding of when or why models might fail, you open yourself up to failures in risk management.

A significant commercial risk related to AI is the danger of being left behind. The pace of AI innovation is incredibly rapid and firms that fail to adopt and integrate these technologies risk losing their competitive edge. This is also true in the field of

risk management, where AI can increasingly be leveraged for enhanced predictive analysis, aiding in the creation of relevant scenario analysis and helping to identify emerging risks.

Finally, I would say that one of the most important aspects of AI to understand is that it relies on quantified data and collected information. AI will be able to do a great many wonderful things for us. However, there's a tremendous amount of important information across an economy or within capital markets that drives asset prices and risks that don't lend themselves to summarization, aggregation, or quantification. In that context, AI will become increasingly important in advancing risk management capabilities, but it should never be the only resource we rely on for risk management.

Fabozzi: Have you encountered liquidity-driven risks in recent years? If so, how have they been managed?

Leiz: Liquidity risk is an area that requires constant vigilance; it's almost always the one risk that "gets you." A long-only portfolio that holds assets that materially depreciate in a short period of time, while unfortunate and one that represents an awful client experience, is still a portfolio that will continue to exist—albeit at a lower value. However, take that same portfolio, and let's assume it allows daily redemptions, and because of that lousy client experience, redemptions occur. This isn't a problem if the assets are sufficiently liquid, but it is a big problem if that's not the case. That is liquidity risk.

Now, let's assume the asset manager identified that the portfolio holds illiquid assets and decided that an open-ended fund structure—one that allows daily redemptions and subscriptions—is inappropriate, so they made it a closed-end fund. The liquidity risk that we previously identified has been mitigated. However, if the manager decides to use leverage, we have liquidity risk again. Or, if the fund trades derivatives that require daily margin moves—again liquidity risk.

Liquidity risk is any liability an investment vehicle may face, specifically: 1) redemption risk, 2) risk associated with explicit leverage, and 3) cash event risk (a.k.a. margin risk), which all ties back to asset liquidity.

Have I encountered liquidity-driven risks? Yes, of course. A more recent event that stands out is March of 2020, at the onset of the COVID pandemic. Credit products in general saw a drop in liquidity as banks, acting as liquidity providers, saw their balance sheets fill up. The liquidity challenges were pronounced in the commercial paper (CP) markets, both in the primary and secondary markets and the Federal Reserve had to step in. CP secondary market illiquidity led to issues in funds that ordinarily wouldn't be at the top of your list of concerns.

How do I manage liquidity risks? The most effective approach I've found is to have a process and a plan. In terms of process, you need to think about managing liquidity risk at an investment product's different life cycle phases. Liquidity risk management starts before a product is even launched. It begins at the design phase. In terms of the plan, firms like Invesco operate in numerous jurisdictions and manage various investment vehicle types. Each place has different rules, constructs, and liquidity tools at your disposal. You need to know what those are and the waterfall tools you will deploy. I put that in a playbook that sits close at hand in my desk drawer. Lastly, you need early warning indicators and to constantly stress your assumptions.

You may have guessed that liquidity risk is a topic near and dear to my heart. I'm a recovering trader/market maker. My very first job in the risk field was in liquidity risk.

Fabozzi: How do novel asset classes, such as cryptocurrencies or private debt, contribute to overall portfolio risk and volatility?

Leiz: This is an interesting question. I wouldn't lump cryptocurrencies and private debt into the same category. I wouldn't say private debt, which is more commonly

called private credit, is a novel asset class. It might be novel to some investors, but it's not new. It's been around for decades; there's just a lot more talk about it these days given investors' focus on alternatives. Invesco has been in the private credit business for 30+ years and has a longstanding and successful track record in managing vehicles that invest in the asset class.

That said, I believe what you're getting at is that there are certain characteristics to consider that may be different from other asset classes. As a risk manager, I always say, the details matter. Small things can become big problems. I like understanding the "plumbing" and what's happening beneath the surface. I use this approach regularly, whether for a new strategy or product or a new asset type in a fund.

I used this approach when considering the risks associated with cryptocurrency ETFs. Cryptocurrencies have some idiosyncrasies that are different from other asset classes, specifically settlement, custody and trading. By understanding the "plumbing," tracing the asset through its lifecycle, and understanding the details, you can identify new risks and put controls in place to mitigate those risks.

Fabozzi: What are the key risks associated with allocating to alternative investments like hedge funds or private equity?

Leiz: The risks associated with alternatives are very much the same that I would apply to public markets, specifically market, liquidity, and counterparty risk. Though I will note that alternatives and, more specifically, private market assets, do have heightened valuation risk. Private markets don't necessarily have the same price discovery and transaction transparency as public markets. Asset prices convey important valuation and risk information. Many investors don't appreciate the value of the regular and ongoing pricing information in public markets until a repricing event occurs for an asset that isn't priced regularly. Those repricing events can be pretty drastic, especially if prices have to catch up to ongoing risks that haven't been considered.

This is why investors should be cautious of marketing claims of lower correlations and lower risks when considering private market assets. The economic relationships between public and private assets don't disappear just because you don't mark-to-market regularly. A well-known Ayn Rand quote is relevant for private market investors, regardless of whether you love or hate Ayn Rand. That quote says: "You can avoid reality, but you cannot avoid the consequences of avoiding reality." Let's be clear: I believe that alternatives are an important asset class and deserve proper consideration in asset allocation decisions.

Now, there is another overarching risk that I didn't mention: manager selection risk. We know that alternatives, private credit, and private equity are in demand. A simple Google search will yield countless articles touting the benefits and encouraging the push into alternative markets. Invesco has been active in this space for decades, and I think we are very good at it. However, not everyone is. To meet this demand, asset managers and new firms are rushing into this space. While investor choice is increasing, the quality of those choices should be considered carefully. This means there's an increasing need for a robust due diligence process when selecting a manager.

Fabozzi: Are there specific risk management tools or methodologies you rely on for identifying and mitigating emerging risks?

Leiz: This question reminds me of a quote by Nobel laureate Dr. Albert Szent-Gyorgyi, who once said "Innovation is seeing what everybody has seen and thinking what nobody has thought." This perfectly encapsulates risk management and the quest to identify emerging risks before they've fully materialized. We use a combination of process, which I think is the more rigid side of risk management, and creativity,

the innovative and analytical aspect that drives research and ultimately leads to potential insights.

I always tell my team that risk management is about not accepting the status quo: question everything. Look around corners, turn over rocks, and search for things no one sees. Don't just process data—interpret it and connect dots.

A widely used staple of risk management is stress testing. Stress testing exercises allow us to explore extreme but plausible market shocks and can help uncover unforeseen risks. By simulating adverse conditions, we can assess the resiliency and vulnerabilities of our portfolios.

Scenario analysis is another essential tool. The first step in scenario analysis is to construct the narrative that you want to test, which is usually a statement or series of statements. For example, you might want to understand the potential impact of an election on your portfolios. You could add a sticky inflation environment to the narrative if you wanted to test multiple variables. Constructing the market impacts to test your portfolios against can be challenging and requires a combination of art, experience, and skill.

Collaboration and communication are also key elements. Effective risk management cannot be done solely by sitting in front of a computer. It's essential to connect with investors and other market participants to hear about what they're saying and thinking about. This is where you might gain access to some of the critical risk information that isn't in the data or incorporated in risk models. There is much to learn from others, and engaging with diverse perspectives can provide valuable insights.

These methodologies, combined with a mindset of curiosity and questioning, a commitment to innovation, and forward thinking, are all critical aspects of staying ahead of emerging risks.

Disclosure

The views and opinions expressed in this interview are my own and do not necessarily reflect the views or positions of Invesco.

Permissions for reprint

Reprinted with permission from With Intelligence. While Invesco believes the information presented in this article to be reliable and current, Invesco cannot guarantee its accuracy. Further circulation, disclosure, or dissemination of all or any part of this material is prohibited. This article is provided for educational & informational purposes only and is not an offer of investment advice or financial products.

Investment risks

The value of investments and any income will fluctuate this may partly be the result of exchange rate fluctuations and investors may not get back the full amount invested. Past performance is not a guide to future returns.

The use of environmental, social and governance factors to exclude certain investments for non-financial reasons may limit market opportunities available to funds not using these criteria. Further, information used to evaluate environmental, social and governance factors may not be readily available, complete or accurate, which could negatively impact the ability to apply environmental, social and governance standards.

Alternative investment products, including hedge funds and private equity, involve a high degree of risk, often engage in leveraging and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss, can be highly illiquid, are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors, may involve complex tax structures and delays in distributing important tax information, are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds, often charge high fees which may offset any trading profits, and in many cases the underlying investments are not transparent and are known only to the investment manager. There is often no secondary market for hedge funds and private equity, and none is expected to develop. There may be restrictions on transferring interests in such investments.

The risks of investing in securities of foreign issuers, including emerging market issuers, can include fluctuations in foreign currencies, political and economic instability, and foreign taxation issues.

Important Information

This marketing communication is intended only for Professional Clients in Continental Europe (as specified in the important information), Dubai, Guernsey, Jersey, Ireland, Isle of Man and the UK; For Qualified Clients/Sophisticated Investors in Israel; for Institutional Investors only in the United States; for Professional Investors in Hong Kong; for Institutional/Accredited Investors in Singapore; for Qualified Institutions/Sophisticated Investors only in Taiwan; for Qualified Professional Investors in Korea; for Qualified Institutional Investors and/or certain specific institutional investors in Thailand; for Sophisticated or Professional Investors in Australia; in New Zealand for wholesale investors (as defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act); for certain specific institutional investors in Malaysia upon request; for certain specific sovereign wealth funds and/or Qualified Domestic Institutional Investors approved by local regulators only in the People's Republic of China, for certain specific institutional investors in Brunei and Indonesia; for qualified buyers in Philippines. In Canada this document is restricted to investors who are (i) Accredited Investors and (ii) Permitted Clients, as defined under National Instrument 45 106 and National Instrument 31 103, respectively. It is not intended for and should not be distributed to, or relied upon by, the public or retail investors. This document is not for consumer use; please do not redistribute.

For the distribution of this document, Continental Europe is defined as Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malta, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland.

This is marketing material and not financial advice. It is not intended as a recommendation to buy or sell any particular asset class, security or strategy. Regulatory requirements that require impartiality of investment/investment strategy recommendations are therefore not applicable nor are any prohibitions to trade before publication. . Views and opinions are based on current market conditions and are subject to change. Any calculations and charts set out herein are indicative only, make certain assumptions and no guarantee is given that future performance or results will reflect the information herein.

By accepting this material you consent to communicate with us in English, unless you inform us otherwise.

Australia:

This document has been prepared only for those persons to whom Invesco has provided it. It should not be relied upon by anyone else. Information contained in this document may not have been prepared or tailored for an Australian audience and does not constitute an offer of a financial product in Australia. You may only reproduce, circulate and use this document (or any part of it) with the consent of Invesco. The information in this document has been prepared without taking into account any investor's investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs. Before acting on the information the investor should consider its appropriateness having regard to their investment objectives, financial situation and needs.

You should note that this information:

- may contain references to dollar amounts which are not Australian dollars;
- may contain financial information which is not prepared in accordance with Australian law or practices;
- may not address risks associated with investment in foreign currency denominated investments; and
- does not address Australian tax issues.

Israel:

This document may not be reproduced or used for any other purpose, nor be furnished to any other person other than those to whom copies have been sent. Nothing in this document should be considered investment advice or investment marketing as defined in the Regulation of Investment Advice, Investment Marketing and Portfolio Management Law, 1995 ("the Investment Advice Law"). Neither Invesco Ltd. nor its subsidiaries are licensed under the Investment Advice Law, nor does it carry the insurance as required of a licensee thereunder.

New Zealand

This document is issued only to wholesale investors (as defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act) in New Zealand to whom disclosure is not required under Part 3 of the Financial Markets Conduct Act. This document has been prepared only for those persons to whom it has been provided by Invesco. It should not be relied upon by anyone else and must not be distributed to members of the public in New Zealand. Information contained in this document may not have been prepared or tailored for a New Zealand audience. You may only reproduce, circulate and use this document (or any part of it) with the consent of Invesco. This document does not constitute and should not be construed as an offer of, invitation or proposal to make an offer for, recommendation to apply for, an opinion or guidance on Interests to members of the public in New Zealand. Applications or any requests for information from persons who are members of the public in New Zealand will not be accepted.

This document is issued in:

- **Australia and New Zealand** by Invesco Australia Limited (ABN 48 001 693 232), Level 26, 333 Collins Street, Melbourne, Victoria, 3000, Australia which holds an Australian Financial Services Licence number 239916.
- **Canada** by Invesco Canada Ltd. 120 Bloor Street East, Suite 700, Toronto, Ontario M4W 1B7
- **Continental Europe, Dubai, Guernsey, Jersey, Ireland, Isle of Man, Israel and the UK** by Invesco Management S.A., President Building, 37A Avenue JF Kennedy, L-1855 Luxembourg, regulated by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier, Luxembourg; Invesco Asset Management, (Schweiz) AG, Talacker 34, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland; Invesco Asset Management Limited, Perpetual Park, Perpetual Park Drive, Henley-on-Thames, Oxfordshire RG9 1HH, UK. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority; Invesco Asset Management Deutschland GmbH, An der Welle 5, 60322 Frankfurt am Main, Germany; Invesco Asset Management Limited, PO Box 506599, Index Tower – Level 6, Unit 616, Al Mustaqbal Street, DIFC – Dubai, UAE. Regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority.
- **Hong Kong** by Hong Kong by Invesco Hong Kong Limited 景順投資管理有限公司, 45/F, Jardine House, 1 Connaught Place, Central, Hong Kong.
- **Singapore** by Invesco Asset Management Singapore Ltd, 9 Raffles Place, #18-01 Republic Plaza, Singapore 048619.
- **Taiwan** by Invesco Taiwan Limited, 22F, No.1, Songzhi Road, Taipei 11047, Taiwan (0800-045-066). **Invesco Taiwan Limited is operated and managed independently.**
- **The United States of America** by Invesco Advisers, Inc., 1331 Spring Street NW Suite 2500, Atlanta, GA 30309, USA.